During the 2005-06 school year, Kansas Reading Recovery served 1,069 children. Six teacher leaders at five teacher training sites provided training and ongoing professional development to 143 Reading Recovery teachers in 66 school districts. Three Reading Recovery teacher leaders were trained at ESU during the 2005-06 school year.

Three two-day professional development sessions were offered during the summer of 2006 to enable trained teachers to work with *Literacy Lessons Designed for Individuals, Part One* and *Part Two*. These two books, written by Marie Clay, provide new research, understandings, and rationales to help teachers develop deeper understandings about early literacy instruction. A total of 125 Reading Recovery professionals in Dodge City, Greenbush, and Emporia participated in the professional development to ensure a powerful start for Reading Recovery students in the fall.

During the 2005-06 year, 13 Iowa teacher leaders became affiliated with Emporia State University. Dr. Rosalie Forbes, a Reading Recovery trainer, also affiliated with ESU to support the Reading Recovery professionals in Iowa.

Reading Recovery serves as part of a comprehensive approach for the lowest achieving children and is supplemental to good classroom instruction. During this intervention, 80% of students who receive a full series of lessons make accelerated progress, catch up with their peers, and obtain the ability to work independently within an average group setting in the classroom.

Reading Recovery also serves as a pre-referral program for the small number of students who need longer-term support.

Reading Recovery is one of the very few early literacy interventions meeting the “gold standard” of scientifically-based programs as defined by the federal government by providing one-to-one instruction.

**Program History**

Marie Clay, a developmental psychologist, developed a set of research-based procedures found to reverse the cycle of failure for most children in a relatively short period of time. The early intervention was developed in New Zealand in the mid-1970's. Since then the program has expanded to the United States, Australia, Canada, and the United Kingdom.

Reading Recovery was brought to The Ohio State University in 1984 and has since expanded to include implementation in 50 states and the Department of Defense Schools (DoDDs) which serve the children of the United States military families abroad. Today, Reading Recovery is used in one out of five schools in the US containing first grade classrooms and has served over 1.6 million students nationally. In the US there are 22 universities training Reading Recovery teacher leaders and over 500 sites training Reading Recovery teachers. More than 2,400 school districts and almost 13,000 teachers are involved in Reading Recovery. Data are collected on over 107,000 children annually in the US.

In 1998, Emporia State University became a university training center to provide year-long training for teacher leaders who return to their districts to train teachers. University
faculty provide ongoing training, implementation support to sites, and annual evaluation of data collected on every child who enters the program.

Outcomes

Reading Recovery accounts for all children served, regardless of the number of lessons they received. Because the goal is successful grade level performance, children's lessons are ended as soon as it can be predicted they can profit from classroom literacy instruction without further one-to-one help. Rigorous criteria are applied to ensure students are independent in their literacy processing skills before their lessons are ended.

Overall, 876 Kansas children, or 67% of all children served by Reading Recovery in the state, successfully completed their series of lessons reading and writing within the average band of their first grade peers in the 2005-06 school year. Sixteen percent were recommended for further specialist help after an intervention of 12-20 weeks; 11% were in Reading Recovery at the end of the school year with insufficient time to complete a full 20-week intervention; 3% moved while being served; and 3% were classified as none of the above (see Figure 1). The average length of a successful series of lessons was 17.0 weeks.

Of all children who had an opportunity to receive a full series of lessons 80% successfully completed the program and reached grade-level proficiency. This means 80% of the lowest achieving first grade students reached at least average reading levels of their peers after 12-20 weeks of instruction (see Figure 2). Kansas students entering the Reading Recovery program at the beginning of the 2005-06 school year were reading at 2.6 levels lower than the national random sample average. At year-end, the Reading Recovery students gain was 2.1 levels above the national random sample students.

Status Categories

Reading Recovery reports on every child served, even if the child received only one lesson. Children were assigned to one of the following end-of-program status categories:

Discontinued (Completed Their Series of Lessons): A child who successfully met the rigorous criteria to complete the intervention during the school year and at the end of testing.

Recommended: A child who was recommended by Reading Recovery professionals for assessment/consideration of other instructional support at the point of departure from Reading Recovery, after receiving a full intervention of at least 20 weeks (a positive action benefiting the child and the school).

Incomplete: A child who was in Reading Recovery at the end of the school year with insufficient time (less than 20 weeks) to complete the program.

Moved: A child who moved out of the school while being served before specific program status could be determined and who may or may not have had a full intervention of 20 weeks.

Full Program: Full program children are those who completed their series of lessons plus those who had an opportunity to receive services for 20 weeks and did not complete their series of lessons.

Random Sample: Data are collected on first grade children who were not served by Reading Recovery. Each Reading Recovery teacher is asked to randomly select and test two first grade children to use as random sample data. During 2005-06, data were collected on 233 random sample children in Kansas.
Literacy Gains

Reading Recovery students who complete the intervention make dramatic changes in reading group placements across the school year as reported by their teachers (see Figure 3). In the fall, 70% of students who successfully completed their series of lessons were in the lowest reading groups; by the year’s end only 13% were in the low reading group and 87% were in the mid-to-high reading groups.

Seventy-six percent of all students who received a full series of lessons were reading in the lowest reading group in the fall; by the end of the year 78% of these same students were reading in the mid-to-high groups.

Reading Recovery has been shown to close the literacy gap between higher achieving students and those struggling to learn to read and write—particularly for minority students. This is noteworthy when schools are looking for programs to ensure all students meet the federally mandated literacy goals. In order to “leave no child behind” it is necessary for the students who struggle the most to be brought up to class averages as quickly as possible so they may continue to benefit from classroom instruction.

Figure 4 illustrates students who received a complete intervention made dramatic gains in their reading scores, regardless of race/ethnicity. Further, from the time they exit the intervention to year-end, students continue to make significant gains. Their continued progress indicates these children have developed systems for learning which they will continue to use without further intervention. In most cases, Reading Recovery students excel the random sample students in terms of end-of-year gain scores.

A longitudinal study on Kansas’ Reading Recovery students (Briggs & Young, 2003) showed most students who successfully completed Reading Recovery sustain their gains over time, and student performance after Reading Recovery becomes stronger.

The 2004 revised Individuals With Disabilities Improvement Act (IDEIA) addresses the need for Early Intervening Services (EIS) and children’s Response to Intervention (RTI). The IDEIA provides school districts with the discretion to use up to 15% of their federal special education funds on professional development for teachers and on qualifying EIS. Reading Recovery®, as an effective intervention with scientifically-based reading research, is an excellent example of an EIS.
One-to-One Instruction and Beyond

In 2003, the US Department of Education published a document affirming the research evidence for one-to-one tutoring by highly qualified tutors as the “gold standard” for establishing what works (Identifying and Implementing Educational Practices Supported by Rigorous Evidence: A User Friendly Guide, USDE, 2003). Reading Recovery is an excellent example of a data driven, research-based program meeting this standard of excellence.

Reading Recovery teachers are highly trained to teach children having the most difficulty learning to read and write. Once trained, the teachers use their knowledge and skills to not only work with four first-grade students daily, but with many other students during their daily teaching assignments.

During the 2005-06 school year, Kansas Reading Recovery teachers taught 1,069 Reading Recovery students one-to-one, and 4,809 other students in their roles as classroom teachers, Title I, reading specialists, ESL teachers or staff developers.

Cost Effectiveness

The potential reduction of referrals and placements in special education is one of the cost benefits of the Reading Recovery intervention. Reading Recovery serves the lowest achievers in first grade. The goal is successful grade level performance; the majority of students achieve this goal. Without the Reading Recovery intervention, many students would have been referred for special education services.

In 2005-06, only three students (0%) who successfully completed their series of Reading Recovery lessons were referred and placed in special education for LD Reading; 28 students (4%) were referred and placed for speech and language; six students (1%) were referred and placed for other services.

Of all students who received a full series of Reading Recovery lessons 2% were referred and placed for LD Reading and 4% were referred and placed for speech and language. The potential savings are enormous given the high cost of special education services.

Kansas Reading Recovery® Sites 2005-06

Teacher Training Sites Teacher Leaders
Garnett USD 365 ..........Judy King & Betsy Bunnell
Great Bend USD 428 ......................Rita Vonada
Jones Institute (ESU) ..................Suzanne DeWeese
Newton USD 373 .....................Marsha Schmidt
SW Plains Reg. Service Center ........Mischel Miller

For more information about Reading Recovery® in Kansas, please contact:

Dr. Connie Briggs
620-341-5828 or 1-877-378-5433
cbriggs@emporia.edu
www.emporia.edu/readingrecovery

www.readingrecovery.org