Rubric Criteria for Kansas Master Teacher Portfolios

- A. The nominee's teaching practice is tightly connected (4), connected (3), loosely connected (2), disconnected (1), to his/her philosophy of teaching. (Section 3)(Two-page max)
- B. The successful lesson description was clear(4&3), vague(2&1) and the method of measuring its success was effective(4&3), somewhat effective(2), ineffective(1). The description of how the nominee took (or will take) the information gained from this successful lesson and used it to improve his/her future teaching was insightful(4), limited(3), reasonable(2), unclear, missing, or illogical(1). (Section 4)(Five-page max combined with C&D)
- C. The nominee's description of working with hard-to-reach students indicates a highly effective(4), effective(3), minimally effective(2), limited effectiveness(1), level of teaching. (Section 4)(Five-page max combined with B&D)
- D. The identification of his/her current greatest challenge in teaching and description of steps to meet this challenge demonstrate thoughtful and informed(4), reasonable(3), some(2), little(1) reflection. (Section 4)(Five-page max combined with B&C)
- E. The nominee's outreach to parents, families, and community is highly effective(4), effective(3), moderately effective(2), minimally effective(1), is mutually beneficial(4 &3), may be mutually beneficial(2), one-sided(1) and shows a clear impact(4), impact(3), some impact(2), little impact(1) on student learning and/or the learning community. (Section 5)(Two-page max)
- F. The nominee's portfolio shows evidence of continuous(4&3), intermittent(2), some(1), professional growth since the beginning of his/her career with a clear, thoughtfully stated(4), clearly stated(3), stated(2), no clearly articulated(1) plan for the future. (Section 6)(Three-page max combined with G)
- *G.* The nominee presents evidence which shows a **close connection(4)**, **connection(3)**, **loose connection(2)**, **little connection(1)**, between a documented need for professional growth, the action taken to address that need, and the impact on student learning. *(Section 6) (Three-page max combined with F)*
- H. The nominee's description of how his/her professional service/service to the profession impacts student learning either directly or indirectly is stated clearly and logically (4&3), stated, but unclear or illogical(2), missing or questionable(1).(Section 7)(Two-page max)
- *I*. The letters of support presented by the nominee clearly align(4), align(3), loosely align(2), do not align(1) with the other evidence in the portfolio. (Section 8)
- J. Please provide a two-minute video of you teaching your class a lesson. (Section 9)

Scoring Rubric Nominee name

Criterion		Le	vel		Notes
A. The nominee's teaching practice is tightly connected (4), connected (3), loosely connected (2), disconnected (1), to his/her philosophy of teaching. <i>(Section 3) (Two-page max)</i>	4	3	2	1	
B . The successful lesson description was clear(4&3) , vague(2&1) and the method of measuring its success was effective(4&3) , somewhat effective(2) , ineffective(1) . The description of how the nominee took (or will take) the information gained from this successful lesson and used it to improve his/her future teaching was insightful(4) , limited(3) , reasonable(2) , unclear , missing , or illogical(1) . (Section 4) (Five-page max combined with C&D)	4	3	2	1	
C. The nominee's description of working with hard-to- reach students indicates a highly effective(4) , effective(3) , minimally effective(2) , limited effectiveness(1) , level of teaching. <i>(Section 4) (Five- page max combined with B&D)</i>	4	3	2	1	
D. The identification of his/her current greatest challenge in teaching and description of steps to meet this challenge demonstrate thoughtful and informed(4) , reasonable(3) , some(2) , little(1) reflection. (Section 4) (Five-page max combined with B&C)	4	3	2	1	
E. The nominee's outreach to parents, families, and community is highly effective(4), effective(3), moderately effective(2), minimally effective(1), is mutually beneficial(4 & 3), may be mutually beneficial(2), one-sided(1) and shows a clear impact(4), impact(3), some impact(2), little impact(1) on student learning and/or the learning community. (Section 5) (Two-page max)	4	3	2	1	
F. The nominee's portfolio shows evidence of continuous(4&3), intermittent(2), some(1), professional growth since the beginning of his/her career with a clear, thoughtfully stated(4), clearly stated(3), stated(2), no clearly articulated(1) plan for the future. (Section 6) (Three-page max combined with G)	4	3	2	1	
G. The nominee presents evidence which shows a close connection(4), connection(3), loose connection(2), little connection(1), between a documented need for professional growth, the action taken to address that need, and the impact on student learning. (Section 6) (Three-page max combined with F)	4	3	2	1	
H. The nominee's description of how his/her professional service/service to the profession impacts student learning either directly or indirectly is stated clearly and logically (4&3), stated, but unclear or illogical(2), missing or questionable(1). (Section 7) (Two-page max)	4	3	2	1	
I. The letters of support presented by the nominee clearly align(4), align(3), loosely align(2), do not align(1) with the other evidence in the portfolio. (Section 8)	4	3	2	1	

Please provide a Two-minute video of you eaching your class a lesson <i>(Section 9)</i>	4	3	2	1	
---	---	---	---	---	--